

Deborah.Easterling

From: Jocelyn.Boyd
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:13 PM
To: Deborah.Easterling
Subject: FW: Solar Power (SC PSC Docket 2005-385-E)

From: ra shahid [<mailto:rashahid@att.net>]
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:12 PM
To: Jocelyn.Boyd
Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net
Subject: Solar Power (SC PSC Docket 2005-385-E)

*I am thrilled that SC is finally tapping into to abundant energy of the sun.
However; I think more could be done. These are some of my thoughts:*

- #1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.*
- #2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.*
- #3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.*
- #4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations.*
- #5 - Ask the PSC to hold a public session on solar energy early in 2014*

*Thank you.
Ann Shahid
Ridgeville, SC*

Deborah.Easterling

From: Jocelyn.Boyd
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 7:38 PM
To: Deborah.Easterling
Cc: davido@sunstoresolar.com; pmlgrnlw@yahoo.com; brian.franklin@duke-energy.com; timika.shafeek-horton@duke-energy.com; libbysmith@comcast.net; Edwards, Nanette; Hudson, Shannon; chad.burgess@scana.com; Bholman@selcsc.org; davido@sunstoresolar.com
Subject: FW: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

-----Original Message-----

From: Sierra Club [<mailto:information@sierraclub.org>] On Behalf Of Ann Shahid
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: Jocelyn.Boyd
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Jocelyn Boyd
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Boyd,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530

(843) 695-0175

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Howard
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

John Howard
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Howard,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Randall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Comer Randall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Randall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175

Melissa.Purvis

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hall
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Nikiya Hall
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hall,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Fleming
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Elizabeth Fleming
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Fleming,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Hamilton
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

G. O'Neal Hamilton
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Hamilton,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175

Nina.Gates

From: Sierra Club <information@sierraclub.org> on behalf of Ann Shahid <rashahid@att.net>
Sent: Tuesday, September 24, 2013 6:38 PM
To: PSC_Commissioner.Whitfield
Subject: Don't delay public hearings on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E)

Sep 24, 2013

Swain Whitfield
101 Executive Center Drive, Suite 100
Columbia, SC 29210

Dear Whitfield,

I respectfully disagree with the decision of the Public Service Commission to indefinitely delay the public hearing on net metering (PSC Docket #2005-385-E) that was supposed to have been held this past September 12th, 2013.

#1 - SC's current net metering are too strict.

#2 - Raise the .2% cap for total state-wide capacity to 2% of peak demand.

#3 - Raise the 100 kw net metering cap on commercial systems to 2 MW.

#4 - Update rules to eliminate confusion over credit balances, allow for community solar systems, ensure ownership of renewable credits and ease installations. While the Energy Advisory Council's work in consideration of net metering issues is important, it is also necessary to continue public discussion and consideration of how existing rules can be improved to allow for clean energy resource competition in our state.

After all, the PSC exists as a public body to best advance its own motto: "a fair, open, and efficient regulatory process that promotes cost-effective and reliable utility services." I hope that the Commission reconsiders streamlining its hosting of an open public discussion about net metering, in order to so carry-out its own vital role as a public service entity. Thank you for your consideration of this request to re-set a date to have this public discussion in the near future.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Ann Shahid
171 Chrystal Ln
Ridgeville, SC 29472-8530
(843) 695-0175